

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/628,965	KELLER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mina Haghigatian	1616

All Participants:

(1) Mina Haghigatian.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Naomi Biswas.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 November 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

ALL

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner telephoned the Applicant to discuss potential allowance of some claims and to suggest certain amendments. It was stated that claims 22 and 43 would be allowable if the limitations of claim 35 were included in the said claims. Also it was stated that claims 44-57, 62-64 and 67 were not allowable at this time. Applicant agreed to cancelling claim 35 and implementing its limitations, specifically, the amount of magnesium stearate into independent claims 22 and 43. Also it was agreed to cancelling claims 44-57, 62-64 and 67. Amendments are recited in the Examiner's Amendment (attached). .